

- Sept. 28 -

- 1) Nothing new in U.S. position.
Question as to whether we closer on solution. Soviet will study our remarks.
- 2) Don't feel U.S. has duly reacted to compromise proposal set out by Soviet side.
- 3) Points out that we have put heavy emphasis on resolution of new type issue. But now American side is acting as if nothing had happened on this issue.
- 4) Haven't felt yet a desire by U.S. to speed up process of reaching a solution.
- 5) F. D. wants to review main points of Soviet position.
 - a) Would accept U.S. new type position on condition that U.S. accept Soviet position on
 - a) C.H.'s
 - b) Belgium

- 6) Also moved toward U.S. position on number of warheads on ICBM's + SLBM's
- 7) Entitled to expect U.S. to be forthcoming on entire range of CM issues -
 Stressed nuclear - non nuclear issue - (b) No of CM's carried on a bomber - (stressed leakage to our agree on 20 per bomber).
- 8) Soviets willing to consider a co-efficient formula. i.e.
 21-40 - would count as 2
 41-60 would count as 3.
 against the 1320 aggregate
 [What about an average]
- 9) Cruise missile range.
 Repeats That odometer range is only way to compute or define range.
 (Ref to lower + upper limit?)

There would be limit of 600 km on that missile -
 There would be no limit on 2500 ALCM -

(10) All provisions for cruise missiles should be included in treaty.

(11) Dismantling -

Dec 30, 1980 is the only starting point -
 Can't accept another starting date.

June 30, 1981 - only gives the Soviets 6 mos. -

(12) Backfire -